

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box. 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/807,541	03/23/2004	Masakuni Shiozawa	9319S-000712	3766	
27572	7590 02/01/2005		EXAMINER		
HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C.			CHU, CHRIS C		
P.O. BOX 828					
BLOOMFIELD HILLS, MI 48303			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2815		
			DATE MAILED: 02/01/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·			- Hn			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	• •			
	10/807,541	SHIOZAWA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit				
	Chris C. Chu	2815				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address:				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. If the mailing date of this communic D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	cation.			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 D	<u>ecember 2004</u> .					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 - 22</u> is/are pending in the applicatio	n.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) 3 - 5, 11 - 15 and 18	- 22 is/are withdrawn from consid	deration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) 1, 2, 6 - 10, 16 and 17 is/are rejected	d.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	pjected to. See 37 CFR 1.1	21(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-15	2.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 	s have been received.		·			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior			е			
application from the International Burea	•					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list		ed.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D					
 2) Π Notice of Dramsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P10-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PT0-1449 or PT0/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/23/04 & 7/29/04. 		Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/807,541 Page 2

Art Unit: 2815

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election with traverse of Group I and Species I in the reply filed on December 20, 2004 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that all of the groups and species are drawn to subject matter which are so related to each other that an undue burden would not be placed upon the Examine by maintaining all claims in a single application. This is not found persuasive because the method claims of the instant invention, especially, claim 15 recites the following sentence, "melting the solder balls to bond the solder balls to the lands by executing a reflow process while making the resin contained in the flux crawl up along surfaces of the solder balls". This requires a search in specific subclasses of class 438. However, the device claims have no such limitation, which requires no such search. Furthermore, the mutually exclusive characteristics of the respective species provide a prima facie showing of an undue search burden. However, if applicant states for the record that the apparatus claims and method claims are not patentably distinct, then the restriction requirement will be withdrawn.

Applicant elected the Group I and Species I (claims 1, 2, 6 - 10, 16 and 17) and thus claims 1, 2, 6 - 10, 16 and 17 are herein examined. The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/807,541

Art Unit: 2815

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

3. Claims 1, 2, 6, 8 – 10, 16 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Nishimura et al. (U. S. Pat. No. 6,781,241).

Regarding claim 1, Nishimura et al. discloses in e.g., Fig. 11 and column 10, lines 57 – 63 a semiconductor device, comprising:

- a first semiconductor package (the package on the element 1b) having a first semiconductor chip (3b) mounted therein;
- a second semiconductor package (the package on the element 1a) having a second semiconductor chip (3c) mounted therein;
- a protruding electrode bonding (7) the first semiconductor package to the second semiconductor package so that the second semiconductor package is held above the first semiconductor chip (see Fig. 11); and
- resin (16; column 10, lines 57 63) provided between the first semiconductor package and the second semiconductor package, at least a part of a surface of the first semiconductor chip being spaced apart from the resin (see Fig. 11).

Regarding claim 2, Nishimura et al. discloses in e.g., Fig. 11 the protruding electrode (7) comprising a solder ball (column 10, line 58).

Regarding claim 6, Nishimura et al. discloses in e.g., Fig. 11 the resin (16) being arranged so as to contact a periphery of the protruding electrode (7 and see Fig. 11).

Regarding claim 8, Nishimura et al. discloses in e.g., Fig. 11

Application/Control Number: 10/807,541

Art Unit: 2815

- the first semiconductor package (the package on the element 1b) comprises:

- a first carrier substrate (1b); and
- the first semiconductor chip (3b) which is flip-chip mounted on the first carrier substrate (see Fig. 11 and column 5, lines 34 – 35); and
- the second semiconductor package (the package on the element 1a) comprises:
 - a second carrier substrate (1a) mounted on the first carrier substrate (1b) through the protruding electrode (7) so as to be held above the first semiconductor chip (3b);
 - the second semiconductor chip (3c; column 7, lines 8 9) which is
 mounted on the second carrier substrate; and
 - a sealing agent (2; column 9, line 33) that seals the second semiconductor chip (see Fig. 11).

Regarding claim 9, Nishimura et al. discloses in e.g., Fig. 11 the first semiconductor package (the package on the element 1b) comprising a ball grid array (7A) where the first semiconductor chip (3b) is flip-chip mounted on the first carrier substrate (1b), and the second semiconductor package (the package on the element 1a) comprising one of a ball grid array (7) and a chip-size package where the second semiconductor chip (3c) mounted on the second carrier substrate (1a) is mold-sealed (2; see Fig. 11).

Regarding claim 10, Nishimura et al. discloses in e.g., Fig. 11 and column 10, lines 57 – 63 an electronic device, comprising:

- a first package (the package on the element 1b) having a first electronic component (3b) mounted therein;

- a second package (the package on the element 1a) having a second electrode component (3c) is mounted therein;

- a protruding electrode (7) bonding the first package and the second package so
 that the second package is held above the first electronic component (see Fig. 11); and
- resin (16) provided between the first package and the second package, at least a part of a surface of the first electronic component being spaced apart from the resin (see Fig. 11).

Regarding claim 16, Nishimura et al. discloses in e.g., Fig. 11 and column 10, lines 57 - 63 a semiconductor device, comprising:

- a first semiconductor package (the package on the element 1b) including a semiconductor chip (3b);
- a protruding electrode (7) bonded to the first semiconductor package;
- a second semiconductor package (the package on the element 1a) bonded to
 the protruding electrode opposite the first semiconductor package, the second
 semiconductor package being held above the semiconductor chip and defining
 a gap between the second semiconductor package and the first semiconductor
 package (see Fig. 11); and
- resin (16) spanning between the first semiconductor package and the second semiconductor package, the resin being laterally spaced apart from the semiconductor chip so that at least a part of the gap is free of the resin (see Fig. 11).

Art Unit: 2815

Regarding claim 17, Nishimura et al. discloses in e.g., Fig. 11 the resin (16) surrounding a periphery of the protruding electrode (see Fig. 11).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nishimura et al. in view of Sakuyama et al. (U. S. Pat. No. 6,670,264).

While Nishimura et al. teaches the use of the resin material, Nishimura et al. does not appear to provide the resin material's specific composition including flux. Sakuyama et al. teaches in column 2, lines 54 - 63 a resin material including flux (column 2, line 56). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time when the invention was made to include the flux as the specific material to form the resin material of Nishimura et al. as taught by Sakuyama et al. for the purpose of simplifying the bonding process (column 2, lines 54 - 55).

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Halahan, Akram et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 6,493,229 and U.S. Pat. No. 6,051,878), McCormick, Takahashi et al., Call et al. disclose a stacked semiconductor package. Furthermore, Prigmore discloses a flux type resin that is coated on solder balls.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chris C. Chu whose telephone number is 571-272-1724. The examiner can normally be reached on 11:30 - 8:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Thomas can be reached on 517-272-1664. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov.

Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Chris C. Chu Examiner Art Unit 2815

C.C.

Wednesday, January 19, 2005

GEORGE ECKERT
PRIMARY EXAMINER